Hon. Alison J. Nathan United States District Judge United States District Court Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square New York, New York 10007 USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 1/13/21

January 11, 2021

Re: Heena Shim-Larkin v. City of New York Docket No. 16 CV 6099 (AJN) (KNF) Request for an extension of time

Dear Judge Alison J. Nathan:

I am Plaintiff Heena Shim-Larkin and writing to request for an extension of time to file Rule 72(a) objection to the Nov 24, 2020 order, until February 1, 2021, pursuant to Your Honor's individual rules of practice 1.D. The current due date is January 18, 2021. This is the third request and the previous ones were granted. See orders at ECF #709 and 720. Defendant consents.

Plaintiff requested Magistrate Judge for a clarification of Nov 24, 2020 order (ECF #714) and he provided some response on December 8, 2020 (ECF #715). Subsequently, Plaintiff filed a letter-motion to Magistrate Judge for an extension of time *nunc pro tunc* on Dec 14, 2020 (ECF #716) and would like to include objections to an order on such letter-motion (if needed) and to the Nov 24, 2020 order together in one objection. Because both proceedings dealt with Plaintiff's Aug 5, 2020 expert witness statements, putting those together in one objection will save judicial resources and the parties' resources. Plaintiff reasonably expects that the decision on Dec 14, 2020 letter-motion might be made on or before January 18, 2021 and she will need two weeks from that date to prepare the objection. Plaintiff might request for an additional extension if she does not receive the Magistrate Judge's ruling on such letter-motion until January 18, 2021.

Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to extend the date for Plaintiff to file Rule 72(a) objection to the Nov 24, 2020 order until February 1, 2021 and order other reliefs which court deems just and proper.

SO ORDERED.

1/12/21

Respectfully submitted,

S/

Heena Shim-Larkin

Plaintiff *Pro Se*